



FY2026 NOFO CoC RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING RUBRIC

Threshold Compliance (Pass/Fail)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance
Applicant Eligibility and Application Completeness	Pass/Fail	Applicant is eligible to apply, all required application materials have been submitted, and all threshold requirements have been satisfied.
Project Eligibility and Match Requirement	Pass/Fail	Project is eligible under 24 C.F.R. Part 578 and demonstrates the required 25 percent match.

Project Design (30 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Coordinated Entry	0–10	Demonstrates a clear, compliant process for Coordinated Entry referrals, prioritization, and vacancy management that aligns with CoC policies.	<p>0: Response is missing, incomplete, or does not demonstrate compliance.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates basic compliance but provides limited detail or documentation.</p>



			10: Response clearly demonstrates full compliance, well-defined procedures, and effective coordination with the CoC.
Population Targeting	0-10	Clearly identifies the population served and demonstrates alignment with CoC priorities and project eligibility requirements.	0: Population is not identified or is inconsistent with project eligibility. 5: Population is identified but alignment with CoC priorities is only partially demonstrated. 10: Population is clearly defined, justified, and fully aligned with CoC priorities and project design.
Trauma-Informed Approach	0-10	Demonstrates implementation of trauma-informed practices through staff training, organizational policies, and participant-centered service delivery.	0: No trauma-informed practices are described. 5: Trauma-informed practices are described but implementation is limited or informal. 10: Trauma-informed practices are fully integrated into policies, staff training, and service delivery.

System Performance (25 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Housing Stability and Returns to Homelessness	0-5	Demonstrates strategies that promote permanent housing stability and reduce returns to homelessness.	0: No strategy is described. 3: Strategy is described but lacks detail or evidence of effectiveness. 5: Strategy is comprehensive, evidence-informed, and supported by outcomes or performance data.

Employment and Income	0–5	Describes strategies to improve participant employment and income outcomes, as applicable to the project population.	<p>0: No strategy is described.</p> <p>3: Basic services or strategies are described.</p> <p>5: Comprehensive strategies are described and supported by measurable outcomes or performance data.</p>
Supportive Services	0–5	Supportive services are appropriate, allowable, responsive to participant needs, and clearly described, where applicable.	<p>0: Services are not described or are inconsistent with project needs.</p> <p>3: Services are described but lack sufficient detail or coordination.</p> <p>5: Services are comprehensive, coordinated, and clearly support housing stability and participant outcomes.</p>
Performance History and Continuous Improvement	0–10	Demonstrates achievement of performance outcomes and implementation of continuous quality improvement strategies, including corrective actions when needed.	<p>0: Performance concerns are identified with no improvement strategy.</p> <p>5: Performance is mixed or improving with some evidence of corrective action.</p> <p>10: Performance consistently meets or exceeds expectations, or demonstrates measurable improvement supported by effective quality improvement efforts.</p>

Agency Capacity (20 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Staffing and Quality Assurance	0–10	Demonstrates sufficient staffing capacity, supervisory structure, and quality assurance processes to successfully implement and monitor the project.	<p>0: Staffing structure or quality assurance processes are not adequately described.</p> <p>5: Basic staffing and oversight processes are described.</p>

			10: Staffing structure, supervision, and quality assurance processes are comprehensive and well documented.
HMIS Data Quality	0–10	Demonstrates processes that ensure HMIS data are accurate, timely, complete, and consistent with CoC data quality standards.	0: No data quality process is described. 5: Basic data quality procedures are described. 10: Comprehensive data quality controls, monitoring, and corrective procedures are clearly described.

Budget & Cost Effectiveness (25 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Cost Reasonableness	0–10	Budgeted costs are reasonable, necessary, adequately justified, and consistent with project design.	0: Costs are unsupported or unreasonable. 5: Costs are generally justified but supporting information is limited. 10: Costs are fully justified, reasonable, and clearly support project implementation.
Budget Accuracy	0–10	Budget is complete, internally consistent, mathematically accurate, and free of material errors.	0: Budget contains significant errors or omissions. 5: Budget contains minor errors or inconsistencies. 10: Budget is complete, accurate, and internally consistent throughout the application.
Match Documentation	0–5	Required match is clearly documented and complies with applicable CoC Program requirements.	0: Required documentation is missing or noncompliant. 3: Documentation is partially complete or requires clarification.



			5: Documentation is complete, clearly supports the required match, and complies with program requirements.
--	--	--	---

Maximum Points: 100