

FY 2026 Continuum of Care Competition and Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program Grants NOFO

Local Application Funding Notice - Application
Orientation

Renewal, New + Transition Projects

July 8, 2026, 11:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.




Agenda & Purpose

1. Housekeeping
2. CoC Competition General Information
3. FY2026 NOFO Priorities
4. Project Types
5. Local Information
6. Local Application
7. Renewal Project Specifics
8. New + Transition Project Specifics
9. Application Submission
10. Scoring
11. Q&A

HOUSEKEEPING

- ❖ **Workshop Purpose and Focus-** This workshop orients applicants to the FY26 CoC Program and local application processes to ensure understanding of federal and local requirements
- ❖ CSB Staff Introductions
- ❖ Provide your name and organization in the chat
- ❖ Questions
 - can be submitted throughout the workshop via the chat
 - will have time at the end to go through questions
 - All questions will be answered on the Q&A document on the CoC website [About » Community Shelter Board](#)



OVERVIEW – CONTINUUM OF CARE NOFO COMPETITION

- CoC NOFO application is the process by which over 400 Continuums across the country apply to HUD for CoC funding.
- HUD awards funding based on available funding, individual project alignment with HUD priorities, and CoC overall application score.
- Community Shelter Board is the Unified Funding Agency and Collaborative Applicant for the Columbus and Franklin County CoC.

COC NOFO APPLICATION PROCESS

National Competition

- Allocates HUD funding based on application performance and alignment with priorities.
- Each CoC submits its application, including priority listing, to HUD.
- Scores on both the CoC application and individual project applications impact the amount of funding that each CoC receives, and ultimately, which projects are funded.

COC NOFO APPLICATION PROCESS

Local Application Process

- CSB solicits local applications.
- CoC Board and Membership approve scoring criteria and rating, ranking and selection policies.
- Review Committee ranks applications based on prioritization.
- COC approves Collaborative Application, including the Priority Listing, which is submitted to HUD by CSB.

HUD FY2026 NOFO POLICY PRIORITIES



PROJECT TYPES

TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

- Provides up to 24 months of temporary housing with supportive services to people experiencing homelessness.
 - Goal is for clients to exit to independent living without government assistance.
- Designed to provide interim stability and support to successfully move to and maintain permanent housing.
- May be Site-Based or Scattered-Site.
- Participants expected to engage in 20 hours per week of services and/or employment.
- HUD will not accept new Joint TH/PH-RRH component project applications.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY (STANDALONE)

- Provides supportive services to people experiencing homelessness for whom the agency is not providing housing or housing assistance.
 - Goal is to connect households experiencing homelessness to housing and community resources.
- May provide services to sheltered or unsheltered individuals and families, depending on the project design.
- Services may include assessment, housing navigation, case management, referrals, housing search and placement, and housing stabilization.
- Standalone SSO projects are limited to eligible CoC Program activities, such as Coordinated Entry or other HUD-approved supportive service activities.

SSO: STREET OUTREACH

- Provides supportive services to people experiencing unsheltered homelessness by connecting them to emergency shelter, housing, or critical services, and urgent, non-facility-based care.
 - Goal is to connect individuals and families to emergency shelter, permanent housing, health care, and other critical community resources.
- Services may include street-based case management, assessment, housing navigation, referrals, transportation, emergency supplies, and connections to mainstream benefits and health services.

PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)

- Provides permanent housing with long-term rental assistance and supportive services for people with disabilities experiencing homelessness.
 - Goal is to help participants obtain and maintain permanent housing while maximizing independence.
- Designed to serve persons with disabilities who need ongoing housing stability and supportive services.
- May be Site-Based, Project-Based, Sponsor-Based, or Tenant-Based, as permitted by the project design.
- Supportive services must be available to assist participants in maintaining housing.

PERMANENT HOUSING – RAPID RE-HOUSING (PH-RRH)

- Provides short- to medium-term rental assistance and supportive services to quickly move people experiencing homelessness into permanent housing.
 - Goal is to rapidly exit homelessness and achieve housing stability.
- Supportive services may continue after housing placement as needed.

NOFO TIMELINE

Activity	Timeline
CoC, Partner Agency, and Public Updates	Weekly
Current Partner Agencies Intent to Apply	06/22/2026- Released 6/25/2026- Deadline
CoC Prioritization Approval	06/25/2026
Renewal and New/Transition Project Applications Released (Word Document)	07/02/2026
Weekly NOFO Technical Assistance Office Hours	07/02/2026 - 08/13/2026 Thursdays 9:30-10:30AM
Application Deadline (5:00 PM)	07/22/2026
CoC Board Application Score Review and Recommendations	08/06/2026
CoC Membership Application Review and Approvals	08/11/2026
Notification on Project Applications	08/12/2026
Desired Consolidated Application Submission to HUD	08/24/2026
CoC Consolidated Application Due to HUD	08/26/2026

TIER 1 PRIORITIZATION

1. Renewal HMIS projects.
2. Renewal Coordinated Entry (SSO) projects.
3. Renewal Transitional Housing projects serving Transition Age Youth.
4. Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects that are currently operational and serving clients with adequate operations funding.
 - Fixed-Site Renewal PSH . . . up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount.
 - Renewal Family-Dedicated PSH (at least 50% of units dedicated to families).
 - Renewal PSH-rental assistance.
5. New PSH projects that are currently operational and serving clients but do not receive CoC funding yet and have a documented funding gap.
 - Fixed-Site PSH using the scoring and rating criteria described below, in descending order of their score based on the total accumulated points, in an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000.00.

TIER 2 PRIORITIZATION

1. New RRH, TH, SSO or SSO-CE following the new project development process, using the DV Bonus funds available.
2. New SSO and TH through reallocation or transition of PSH-leasing, PSH-rental assistance, RRH, RRH, and TH-RRH as ranked by the CoC and following the new project development process.
3. New SSO, SSO-CE and TH following the new project development process, using the CoC Bonus funds available.
4. Renewal Rapid Rehousing.
5. Renewal Joint TH/RRH.
6. Renewal PSH projects that are not presently operational and not serving clients. Renewal PSH projects without committed operational funding support.
7. Any Renewal project that did not follow the locally established competition guidelines will be ranked as the last renewal project in Tier 2.


AVAILABLE FUNDING

Annual Renewal Demand (ARD)	Tier 1 (60% of ARD)	COC Bonus (Estimated)	DV Bonus (Estimated)
\$27,047,015	\$16,228,209	\$4,057,052	\$2,581,580

APPLICATIONS

COMPLETING THE APPLICATION

- Understand application structure.
- Avoid threshold failures.
- Write strong narratives.
- Complete attachments.
- Submit complete package to grants@csb.org by 5:00 PM on Wednesday July 22, 2026.



THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

- Verify the organization's Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and active SAM.gov registration.
 - A UEI is assigned through SAM.gov and is required to apply for federal funding.
- New applicants must provide proof of UEI/SAM.gov registration or application, as applicable.
- Provide details for any monitoring findings, if applicable.
- Confirm the project meets all Additional Requirements and Project Thresholds.
 - All threshold questions must be truthfully answered “Yes” to meet eligibility requirements.
- Demonstrate consistency with the Franklin County 2025–2029 Consolidated Plan.

PROJECT INFORMATION

- Provide complete and accurate contact information.
- Complete all Other Questions related to HUD priorities.
 - Include a Supportive Services Agreement (template available on the website), if applicable.
 - Include Agreements or Letters of Commitment for collaborations serving children and youth, if applicable.
- Verify the project type and ensure it meets all HUD eligibility requirements (discussed later).
- Identify whether the project is New, Transition, YHDP, or DV (discussed later).
 - Review the Information Session Q&As available on the website.
- Ensure all application responses are complete and consistent throughout.

NARRATIVE

Tell a Clear Story in the Narrative


- Clearly describe the population to be served and the services to be provided.
- New and Transition Projects must complete all required narrative sections and detailed charts.
- Renewal Projects must provide a concise narrative summary.
- Demonstrate the need for the project. Describe the expected outcomes. Explain how project success will be measured.

CO-APPLICANTS

- A project applicant may include one or more co-applicants to carry out eligible project activities. The project applicant remains legally responsible for the grant and overall project performance.
- Co-applicants may carry out specific eligible activities identified in the project application and grant agreement.
 - Co-applicants must be eligible recipients under the CoC Program.
 - Meet all applicable HUD threshold and eligibility requirements.
- The project application must clearly identify each co-applicant and describe its roles and responsibilities.



PROJECT BUDGET



- Complete applicable Excel Budget Template.
 - FY26 Project Budget for New SSO-Proj-CFC CoC
 - FY26 Project Budget for New TH Proj-CFC CoC
 - FY26 Project Budget for non YHDP Joint TH-RRH Renewal-CFC CoC
 - FY26 Project Budget for Standard Renewal-CFC CoC
 - FY26 Project Budget for YHDP Renewal Project
- All applicable tabs completed.
- Cost Reasonableness and Fiscal Management.
 - FY26 HUD NOFO
 - 24 CFR Part 278
 - OMB Guidance
 - 24 CFR 200.404
- Document match in detail and ensure you can provide the detailed documentation, when requested
- Align budget to design
- Budget questions can be answered in Technical Assistance

APPLICANT CHECKLIST

- Completed Project Application with certification signed by an authorized official – **PDF and Word**
- YHDP PROJECTS ONLY:** Completed YHDP Addendum (New and Transition Applications)
- DV BONUS PROJECTS ONLY:** Completed DV Bonus Project Addendum (New and Transition Applications)
- Completed Project Budget form with all applicable tabs completed (including match detail) – **Excel document**
- Other commitment letters/agreements as applicable – PDFs
- Supportive Services Agreement, if applicable – PDF
- Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement, if applicable (must also complete Indirect Cost Rate information on Fund Request Summary tab in completed Project Budget form) – PDF
- Completed HUD-2880 form – PDF

APPLICANT CHECKLIST

- Attach proof of SAM application, if not already registered – PDF
- Attach proof of UEI requested, if not already registered – PDF
- NEW APPLICANTS ONLY:** Copy of most recent agency audit – PDF
- NEW APPLICANTS ONLY:** If non-profit organization, attach 501(c)(3) determination letter from IRS – PDF
- Agreement(s) for education supports and services for children aged 0-5, if applicable – PDF
- Any additional documents supporting the narrative that you would like to provide

APPLICANT CERTIFICATIONS

APPLICANT CERTIFICATIONS

To the best of my knowledge and belief, the information provided herein is true and correct. I am authorized to submit this application on behalf of my organization and to commit the organization to comply with all applicable contractual obligations, including any applicable provisions of the FY2026 HUD Continuum of Care Notice of Funding Opportunity if the project is awarded HUD Continuum of Care funding.

By signing below, I hereby certify that the agency meets the threshold requirements as described above and does not have any outstanding monitoring or audit findings from any federal, state, or local entity.

I also agree that, if awarded Continuum of Care funding, Community Shelter Board, as the Unified Funding Agency, will become the recipient of grant funds.

Signature of Authorized Representative:

Name and Title:



Date Signed:



RENEWAL PROJECTS

Allowable renewal projects are the projects listed on the Estimated GIW posted on the website.

[FY-2025-OH-503-GIW-6.25.26-from-HUD-Updated-for-website-1.pdf](#)

NEW + TRANSITION APPLICATION

- New or Transition Project
- YHDP project, complete YHDP Addendum
- DV project, complete DV Bonus Questions

- Additional Requirements

Applicant confirms that funds requested will not replace any state or local government funds used, or designated for use, for a comparable activity.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------

The CoC Program is intended to increase housing and services for people experiencing homelessness, not simply shift costs from local sources to the federal government. HUD requires recipients and subrecipients to maintain proper documentation showing that grant funds are being used for eligible program costs and that required matching funds are provided. –hud.gov

Supplanting- using federal CoC funds to replace state, local, or private funds that an organization was already obligated to spend

NEW & TRANSITION APPLICATION

- Coordinated Entry detail- [Homeless Crisis Response System Policies And Procedures » Community Shelter Board](#)
- Transitional Housing and Permanent Supportive Housing Only Questions (bottom of application page 8 – bottom of page 10)
- Supportive Services Projects Only (bottom of application page 10 – top of page 12)
- Supportive Services- Coordinated Entry Only (top of page 12 – middle of page 12)
- Everyone completes Applicant information- Project Budget (page 13)
- YHDP Addendum (page 14 – page 17)
- DV Bonus Questions (page 18)

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

- Complete Application Packages/Emails must be received by July 22, 2026 by 5:00 PM.
- Submitted to grants@csb.org
- First-time applicants are welcomed
- Prior CoC experience is not a requirement
- No limit on number of applications that can be submitted; a complete package and **separate email submission is required for each application**

APPLICATION + BUDGET SCORING

NEW + TRANSITION PROJECT SCORING

Threshold Compliance (Pass/Fail)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance
Applicant eligibility & completeness	Pass/Fail	All threshold requirements completed, signed, and responsive
Organizational eligibility	Pass/Fail	UEI, SAM, nonprofit status (if applicable), and required certifications complete
Project eligibility	Pass/Fail	Eligible CoC component, eligible population, eligible activities, and compliance with 24 CFR 578
Match & leveraging requirements	Pass/Fail	Required documentation demonstrates compliance with applicable match and leveraging requirements
Coordinated Entry & HMIS participation	Pass/Fail	Applicant confirms participation and compliance requirements

NEW + TRANSITION PROJECT SCORING

Project Design and Community Impact (35 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Coordinated Entry Implementation	0–10	Demonstrates a clear, compliant process for Coordinated Entry referrals, prioritization, vacancy management, and integration with the CoC coordinated entry process.	<p>0: Response is missing or does not demonstrate compliance.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates a basic process with limited detail or documentation.</p> <p>10: Response clearly demonstrates full compliance through comprehensive policies, procedures, and coordination with the CoC.</p>
Target Population and CoC Priorities	0–10	Clearly identifies the proposed population and demonstrates alignment with documented community need, project eligibility, and CoC priorities.	<p>0: Target population is not identified or is inconsistent with project eligibility.</p> <p>5: Target population is identified with partial alignment to CoC priorities.</p> <p>10: Target population is clearly defined and fully aligned with documented community need and CoC priorities.</p>
Project Design and Implementation Readiness	0–10	Demonstrates a feasible project design, implementation plan, staffing approach, housing or service model, and readiness to begin operations.	<p>0: Response lacks sufficient detail to evaluate implementation.</p> <p>5: Project design is generally complete but contains minor gaps.</p> <p>10: Project design is comprehensive and demonstrates clear readiness for implementation.</p>
Trauma-Informed and Participant-Centered Practices	0–5	Demonstrates trauma-informed, participant-centered practices integrated throughout policies, staff training, and service delivery.	<p>0: Trauma-informed practices are not described.</p> <p>3: Basic practices are described but implementation is limited.</p> <p>5: Trauma-informed practices are fully integrated into organizational policies, staff training, and service delivery.</p>
		housing stability, recovery, employment, and long-term self-sufficiency.	<p>coordination or connection to participant outcomes.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates a comprehensive, well-coordinated package of supportive services that promotes housing stability, recovery, employment, and long-term self-sufficiency.</p>

NEW + TRANSITION PROJECT SCORING

System Performance (25 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Housing Stability and Returns to Homelessness	0-10	Demonstrates strategies that promote housing stability, reduce returns to homelessness, and support participant re-engagement, as appropriate.	<p>0: No strategy is described.</p> <p>3: Strategy is described but lacks detail or evidence of effectiveness.</p> <p>5: Strategy is comprehensive, evidence-informed, and supported by outcomes or performance data.</p>
Employment and Earned Income Outcomes	0-5	Describes strategies to improve employment and earned income outcomes, as appropriate for the target population.	<p>0: Employment and earned income strategies are not described.</p> <p>3: Response demonstrates basic employment and earned income strategies with limited detail, coordination, or performance monitoring.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates comprehensive employment and earned income strategies supported by coordinated partnerships, measurable outcomes, and ongoing performance monitoring.</p>
Mainstream Benefits and Total Income Growth	0-5	Describes strategies to connect participants to mainstream benefits and increase overall household income.	<p>0: Strategies to connect participants to mainstream benefits or increase household income are not described.</p> <p>3: Response demonstrates a basic approach to connecting participants to benefits or income supports with limited detail or outcome monitoring.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates comprehensive strategies to maximize access to mainstream benefits and increase household income through coordinated service delivery and ongoing outcome tracking.</p>
Supportive Services and Self-Sufficiency	0-5	Supportive services are appropriate, coordinated, and designed to promote	<p>0: Supportive services are not described or are inconsistent with participant needs.</p> <p>3: Response demonstrates basic supportive services with limited</p>
		housing stability, recovery, employment, and long-term self-sufficiency.	<p>coordination or connection to participant outcomes.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates a comprehensive, well-coordinated package of supportive services that promotes housing stability, recovery, employment, and long-term self-sufficiency.</p>

NEW + TRANSITION PROJECT SCORING

Equity, Lived Expertise & Partnership (10 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Engagement of Persons with Lived Expertise	0–5	Demonstrates meaningful involvement of persons with lived expertise in planning, implementation, evaluation, governance, employment, or volunteer opportunities.	0: Engagement of persons with lived expertise is not described. 3: Response demonstrates limited or periodic engagement of persons with lived expertise in one or more aspects of the project. 5: Response demonstrates meaningful, ongoing engagement of persons with lived expertise across multiple aspects of project planning, implementation, evaluation, governance, employment, or volunteer opportunities.
Community Partnerships and Resource Coordination	0–5	Demonstrates partnerships that support coordinated access to housing, healthcare, employment, education, and supportive services.	0: Community partnerships are not described or do not demonstrate meaningful coordination. 3: Response demonstrates basic partnerships with limited coordination or documentation of partner roles. 5: Response demonstrates a comprehensive network of coordinated community partnerships supported by clearly defined partner roles, documented commitments, and resource coordination that strengthens participant outcomes.

NEW + TRANSITION PROJECT SCORING

Agency Capacity (10 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Organizational Experience and Performance	0-5	Demonstrates successful experience operating comparable projects and serving households experiencing homelessness.	<p>0: Organizational experience operating comparable projects is not described or does not demonstrate the capacity to implement the proposed project.</p> <p>3: Response demonstrates relevant organizational experience operating similar projects but provides limited information regarding project performance or outcomes.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates extensive, successful experience operating comparable projects supported by documented performance outcomes and organizational capacity to effectively administer the proposed project.</p>
Staffing, Management, and Financial Capacity	0-5	Demonstrates sufficient staffing, supervision, grant management, internal controls, and financial systems to administer the project.	<p>0: Staffing, management, or financial capacity is not described or does not demonstrate the ability to administer the project.</p> <p>3: Response demonstrates basic staffing, management, and financial systems with limited detail regarding oversight or internal controls.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates comprehensive staffing, supervisory, grant management, internal control, and financial systems that support successful project implementation and ongoing compliance.</p>

NEW + TRANSITION PROJECT SCORING

Budget & Cost Effectiveness (15 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Cost Reasonableness	0-5	Budgeted costs are reasonable, necessary, adequately justified, and consistent with project design.	<p>0: Budgeted costs are not justified, are inconsistent with the proposed project, or include ineligible or unsupported expenses.</p> <p>3: Response demonstrates generally reasonable and necessary costs but provides limited justification or supporting detail.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates that all budgeted costs are reasonable, necessary, fully justified, and directly support successful implementation of the proposed project.</p>
Budget Accuracy and Completeness	0-5	Budget is complete, internally consistent, mathematically accurate, and free of material errors.	<p>0: Budget contains significant errors, omissions, inconsistencies, or incomplete information.</p> <p>3: Budget is generally complete but contains minor errors, inconsistencies, or areas requiring clarification.</p> <p>5: Budget is complete, internally consistent, mathematically accurate, and fully supports the proposed project narrative and eligible activities.</p>
Leveraging and Match Documentation	0-5	Required match and leveraging commitments are clearly documented and support project implementation.	<p>0: Required match or leveraging documentation is missing, incomplete, or does not demonstrate compliance with program requirements.</p> <p>3: Response demonstrates partial match or leveraging documentation with limited supporting detail or documentation.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates complete, well-documented match and leveraging commitments that comply with program requirements and support successful project implementation.</p>

Bonus Considerations (Up to 5 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance
YHDP Alignment (if applicable)	0-2	Demonstrates strong alignment with youth homelessness priorities
DV Bonus Alignment (if applicable)	0-3	Demonstrates strong survivor-centered practices, safety planning, and survivor involvement

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING

Threshold Compliance (Pass/Fail)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance
Applicant Eligibility and Application Completeness	Pass/Fail	Applicant is eligible to apply, all required application materials have been submitted, and all threshold requirements have been satisfied.
Project Eligibility and Match Requirement	Pass/Fail	Project is eligible under 24 C.F.R. Part 578 and demonstrates the required 25 percent match.

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING

Project Design (30 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Coordinated Entry	0-10	Demonstrates a clear, compliant process for Coordinated Entry referrals, prioritization, and vacancy management that aligns with CoC policies.	<p>0: Response is missing, incomplete, or does not demonstrate compliance.</p> <p>5: Response demonstrates basic compliance but provides limited detail or documentation.</p>
			<p>10: Response clearly demonstrates full compliance, well-defined procedures, and effective coordination with the CoC.</p>
Population Targeting	0-10	Clearly identifies the population served and demonstrates alignment with CoC priorities and project eligibility requirements.	<p>0: Population is not identified or is inconsistent with project eligibility.</p> <p>5: Population is identified but alignment with CoC priorities is only partially demonstrated.</p> <p>10: Population is clearly defined, justified, and fully aligned with CoC priorities and project design.</p>
Trauma-Informed Approach	0-10	Demonstrates implementation of trauma-informed practices through staff training, organizational policies, and participant-centered service delivery.	<p>0: No trauma-informed practices are described.</p> <p>5: Trauma-informed practices are described but implementation is limited or informal.</p> <p>10: Trauma-informed practices are fully integrated into policies, staff training, and service delivery.</p>

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING

System Performance (25 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Housing Stability and Returns to Homelessness	0–5	Demonstrates strategies that promote permanent housing stability and reduce returns to homelessness.	<p>0: No strategy is described.</p> <p>3: Strategy is described but lacks detail or evidence of effectiveness.</p> <p>5: Strategy is comprehensive, evidence-informed, and supported by outcomes or performance data.</p>
Employment and Income	0–5	Describes strategies to improve participant employment and income outcomes, as applicable to the project population.	<p>0: No strategy is described.</p> <p>3: Basic services or strategies are described.</p> <p>5: Comprehensive strategies are described and supported by measurable outcomes or performance data.</p>
Supportive Services	0–5	Supportive services are appropriate, allowable, responsive to participant needs, and clearly described, where applicable.	<p>0: Services are not described or are inconsistent with project needs.</p> <p>3: Services are described but lack sufficient detail or coordination.</p> <p>5: Services are comprehensive, coordinated, and clearly support housing stability and participant outcomes.</p>
Performance History and Continuous Improvement	0–10	Demonstrates achievement of performance outcomes and implementation of continuous quality improvement strategies, including corrective actions when needed.	<p>0: Performance concerns are identified with no improvement strategy.</p> <p>5: Performance is mixed or improving with some evidence of corrective action.</p> <p>10: Performance consistently meets or exceeds expectations, or demonstrates measurable improvement supported by effective quality improvement efforts.</p>

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING

Agency Capacity (20 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Staffing and Quality Assurance	0-10	Demonstrates sufficient staffing capacity, supervisory structure, and quality assurance processes to successfully implement and monitor the project.	0: Staffing structure or quality assurance processes are not adequately described. 5: Basic staffing and oversight processes are described.
			10: Staffing structure, supervision, and quality assurance processes are comprehensive and well documented.
HMIS Data Quality	0-10	Demonstrates processes that ensure HMIS data are accurate, timely, complete, and consistent with CoC data quality standards.	0: No data quality process is described. 5: Basic data quality procedures are described. 10: Comprehensive data quality controls, monitoring, and corrective procedures are clearly described.

RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING

Budget & Cost Effectiveness (25 pts)

Criterion	Points	Scoring Guidance	Point Anchors
Cost Reasonableness	0-10	Budgeted costs are reasonable, necessary, adequately justified, and consistent with project design.	0: Costs are unsupported or unreasonable. 5: Costs are generally justified but supporting information is limited. 10: Costs are fully justified, reasonable, and clearly support project implementation.
Budget Accuracy	0-10	Budget is complete, internally consistent, mathematically accurate, and free of material errors.	0: Budget contains significant errors or omissions. 5: Budget contains minor errors or inconsistencies. 10: Budget is complete, accurate, and internally consistent throughout the application.
Match Documentation	0-5	Required match is clearly documented and complies with applicable CoC Program requirements.	0: Required documentation is missing or noncompliant. 3: Documentation is partially complete or requires clarification.
			5: Documentation is complete, clearly supports the required match, and complies with program requirements.

Maximum Points: 100

Thank you

